

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,975	04/28/2005	Yasumasa Mitani	40072-0020 4649		
	7590 01/04/200 MAN WHITE & MCA	EXAMINER			
1717 RHODE IS	SLAND AVE, NW	BERTAGNA, ANGELA MARIE			
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20036-3001	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1637			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE .		
31 D	AVS	01/04/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/532,	975	MITANI ET AL:	<u> </u>			
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		1 -	Bertagna	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)□ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	:					
,	•	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ×	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the a	application.						
4:	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				•			
6)□ 0	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers							
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	applicant may not request that any obje							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•								
Attachment(s	s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-17, drawn to an amplification method.

Group II, claim(s) 18-21, drawn to primers and kits for amplifying nucleic acids.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The prior art of Notomi et al (Nucleic Acids Research (2000) 28(12): e63) teaches a primer comprising the following elements:
- (a) in its 3'-end portion a sequence (Ac') which hybridizes a sequence (A) in the 3'-end portion of the target nucleic acid sequence (see Figure 1A, where the FIP primer has a sequence F2 at its 3' end that hybridizes to the sequence F2c in the 3' portion of the target),
- (b) in the 5'-side of said sequence (Ac') a sequence (B') which hybridizes the complementary sequence (Bc) of a sequence (B) positioned in the 5'-side of said sequence (A) on the target nucleic acid sequence (see Figure 1A, where the FIP primer has a sequence F1C positioned 5' of the F2 sequence described above. The F1C portion of the primer hybridizes to

Art Unit: 1637

region F1 – a sequence complementary to sequence F1c on the target and positioned 5' of sequence F2C described above),

wherein in the presence of an intervening sequence between said sequences (Ac') and (B'), $\{X-(Y-Y')\}/X$ is in the range of -1.00 to 1.00, where X is the number of bases in sequence (Ac') and Y is the number of bases in the region flanked by sequences A and B on the target sequence, and Y' denotes the number of bases in said intervening sequence (see page ii, "DNA oligonucleotides" section of Notomi, where in the FIP primer region F2 (corresponding to region Ac') is 22 nucleotides, region F1c (corresponding to region B') is 25 nucleotides, and the intervening sequence is 4 nucleotides in length. Notomi further teaches on page ii, "The LAMP method" section that the region flanking sequences F1c and F2 is 40 nucleotides in length. Therefore, $\{X-(Y-Y')\}/X = \{22-(40-4)\}/22 = -0.64$, a value between -1.00 and 1.00.

Since the prior art of Notomi anticipates the instant claim 18, the claims lack a special technical feature linking them over the prior art, and therefore, a lack of unity requirement is proper.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1637

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so

Art Unit: 1637

may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Bertagna whose telephone number is 571-272-8291. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Angela Bertagna Examiner, Art Unit 1637 December 27, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER

4/28/2

amb